

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/878,707	06/11/2001		Patrick G. Ryan	19042/00101	3980
26116	7590	06/19/2006		EXAMINER	
SIDLEY A			GRAYSAY, TAMARA L		
717 NORTH HARWOOD SUITE 3400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201			3636		
				DATE MAILED: 06/19/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
09/878,707	RYAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tamara L. Graysay	3636	

	Tamara L. Graysay	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the content of the	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1:		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>20</u> would be allowanon-allowable claim(s). 	able if submitted in a separate, time	ely filed amendment o	anceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-19 and 33. Claim(s) objected to: 22,23,27 and 29.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: 20,21,24-26,28 and 30-32, per the final Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	rejection mailed 23 January 2006						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

Art Unit: 3636

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Items (a) and (b): The claims have been amended such that their scope has changed. First, newly added claims 37-39 are directed to a product, not a process. The specification does not provide support for a computer readable medium product generally and does not provide support for a computer readable medium being encoded with a program to perform each and every step recited in claims 37-39. Additionally, the specification does not provide antecedent basis for the claim terminology "computer readable medium." Further, in the proposed amendment after final, applicant has not particularly pointed out where support for the noted subject matter lies. Second, previously presented claim 29 (indicated a s allowable) did not have any dependent claims; however, as presented in the proposed amendment, claim 29 now has claims 21-28 and 30 dependent thereon which requires further consideration and raises an issue of indefiniteness. For example, claim 26 recites "buyer sales history" without relating the limitation to the "sales history for that buyer" recited in proposed claim 20. Therefore, the proposed amendment raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search, and possibly an issue of new matter.

Item (d): In the Office action mailed 23 January 2006 nine claims (20, 21, 24-26, 28 and 30-32) were finally rejected. In the proposed amendment applicant has presented six new claims (34-39); however, only two finally rejected claims (31-32) were cancelled.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamara L. Graysay whose telephone number is 571-272-6728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo, can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tamara L. Graysay Examiner

Art Unit 3636